RECORD OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

Tuesday, 18 November 2014

Decision No: (CAB 14/15 13471)

DECISION-MAKER: CABINET

PORTFOLIO AREA: ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT

SUBJECT: *SOUTHAMPTON PERMIT SCHEME FOR

MANAGEMENT OF ROADWORKS AND OTHER

ACTIVITIES ON THE ROAD NETWORK

AUTHOR: John Harvey

THE DECISION

- (i) To approve the implementation of the Southampton Roadworks Permit Scheme (SoRPS) subject to the Department of Transport (DfT) providing technical approval of the scheme and subject to recommendations (ii) and (iii) of this report
- (ii) To delegate authority to the Director, Place, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Environment and Transport and the Chief Financial Officer, to formally approve the implementation of the scheme to the DfT and in doing so, ask the DfT to make a Statutory Instrument to empower the scheme.
- (iii) To delegate authority to the Head of Contract Management, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Environment and Transport, the Director, Place and the Chief Financial Officer, to approve changes to the Highways Service Partnership contract to allow the Council's Highways Service Provider Balfour Beatty Living Places Ltd to undertake works relating to the Permit Scheme on the Council's behalf, provided that commercial close and the contract amendments are in accordance with the parameters described in Confidential Appendix 1 of this report.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

- 1. The Department of Transport (DfT) has agreed to carry out technical approval of the Council's submitted proposal and make a Statutory Instrument (SI) to provide new powers to operate the scheme.
- 2. The technical approval letter from the DfT should arrive in early November 2014. It will be necessary to respond to this letter within a four week window to meet their scheme implementation deadline of 31st March 2015.
- 3. The scheme will require additional staff and resources to manage the new process. These will be provided by Balfour Beatty Living Places (BBLP). The size of the change is enough to require an amendment to the Highways Service Partnership (HSP) contract. This is covered further in the confidential Appendix 1.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 1. The Council could continue to manage the network using the existing New Roads and Street Works Act 1991 (NRSWA) legislation. However, this would not provide the same level of control or deliver the same benefits as the proposed Traffic Management Act 2004 (TMA 2004) Permit scheme.
- The existing NRSWA legislation provides less coordination powers for works within the highway. Only limited information, which is subject to change without consent, is made available by works promoters. This leads to greater disruption on the network which affects all road users especially public transport services.
- 3. The existing scheme is currently fully funded by the Council. The new Permit Scheme seeks to be self-funding via a payment system for administration services by works promoters.
- 4. The Council could delay implementation of SoRPS until after March 2015. A scheme introduced after this date could be approved by the Council without the need to apply to the DfT for a SI. However, schemes that are approved by the DfT have the advantage of a robust technical appraisal by experts in the field at no cost to the promoting Council and is therefore considered less open to challenge. The submission is already with DfT and there is no benefit to delaying the scheme commencement.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision.	
Date:18 November 2014	Decision Maker: The Cabinet
	Proper Officer: Judy Cordell
SCRUTINY Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny "Call-In" provisions.	
Call-In Period expires on	
Date of Call-in (if applicable) (this suspends implementation)	
Oall 's Daniel and a same lated ('the arthornal	
Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)	
Call-in heard by (if applicable)	
Results of Call-in (if applicable)	

Γ